



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,967	04/12/2005	Alistair Royse	3029-0000083/NP	8471
27572	7590	09/18/2009	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			MAI, HAO D	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3732
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	09/18/2009 PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/511,967	ROYSE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	HAO D. MAI	3732	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hao D. Mai. (3) David Utykanski.

(2) Cris Rodriguez. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 28,37 and 42.

Identification of prior art discussed: Daneil (5297538).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: At preliminary review, new claims 37 and 42 seem to have overcome Daniel '538. However, new claim 28 seems to fails to overcome Daniel '538. It was suggested to applicant's representative to further distinguish the exact position of the notches, i.e. each notch is formed across one of the edges or intersections of the arm's faces, in order to overcome Daniel. Updated search and consideration will be conducted upon receipt of a supplemental amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hao D Mai/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3732